# **Riba and Modern Economy in Indonesia**

Sebastiana Viphindrartin<sup>1</sup>, Eddy Priyanto<sup>2</sup>, Meinarti Puspaningtyas<sup>3</sup>, Ema Sulisnaningrum<sup>4</sup>,

Imro'atul Husna Afriani<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Economics Department, Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Jember, Indonesia.

<sup>2,3,4</sup>STIE Jaya Negara Tamansiswa Malang, Indonesia.

<sup>5</sup>Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Banyuwangi, Indonesia.

## Abstract

This study investigates the impact of usury on the economy including economic growth, investment, and consumption in Indonesia. This study employed "autoregressive vectors" to model the causal relationship between variables in 21-year data analysis from 2000 to 2020. This study relies on data provided by the World Bank. In our research, we look at Indonesia's real interest rate, economic growth, consumer spending, and investment utilization. To examine the causal relationship between real interest rate, economic growth, consumer expenditure, and investment in Indonesia. The interest rate has a negative reciprocal relationship, which means that the interest rate suppresses the real sector which includes economic growth, domestic consumption, investment. This proves that the higher the interest rate, the more burden on the real sector. Of course this has a negative impact on the economy. In today's modern economy in Indonesia, the interest system as one of the economy. However, interest or in Islam called usury is something that needs to be avoided or minimized because it burdens the economy, especially in the modern economy in Indonesia.

**Keywords:** Riba, Economy,Indonesia, Interest Rate, Economic Growth. **JEL Code :** A1,A2,J24

July 6,2022 Accepted: August 1,2022 DOI : 10.54204/TAJI/Vol712022007

## Background

Riba and today's modern economy have transformed into bank interest which is considered normal in the economy. However, usury certainly has consequences in the economy. Because usury makes the process of controlling the amount of cash really out of bounds which of course burdens the real sector, even though it is known that cash disbursement is the responsibility of the state, and the central bank issues cash according to the needs of the economy, and within important limits (Prabowo, Sulisnaningrum, & Harnani, 2021). The criteria that protect the economy from the consequences of inflation (a rise in the general price level), are known as monetary policy tools. There is no doubt that inflation generated through usury arises out of state control because the multiplier that works on the basis of creating cash in commercial banks is the weakening of the value actually lent (Viphindrartin, Wilantari, & Bawono, 2022).

An increase in the general price level, and its causes vary and may be uncontrollable, such as an increase in the price of a factor of production which increases the price of a finished good, and it may be due to the scarcity of a certain element of production, or a certain final good, which increases the demand for it and reduces its supply, causing the price to rise their height, and

many other reasons (De Vries & Marcondes, 2020). Inflation is due to usury, as banks work to lend money in exchange for an interest rate, and through a multiplier mechanism, the amount of this borrowing will be multiplied in the economy, which increases the money supply in the economy and thereby decreases the purchase value of money (Wilantari, Widarni, & Bawono, 2021; Sasongko, Bawono, & Prabowo, 2021).

Capital (as an element of production) becomes incompatible with other elements of production (land, labour, organization) because through usury it has become an independent element from other elements, but automatically derives profit from goods and services (cash is sold or rented and generate cash and so on (Clark, 2020). This is what makes today's global economy a financial economy based on money and financial derivatives. As for the desired economy, i.e. Islamic economy, it is considered a real economy based on buying and selling of goods and services (Prabowo, Sulisnaningrum, & Harnani, 2021; Rusminingsih, Damayanti, 2021).

Riba causes a misdistribution of wealth, because the surplus class and those who have money exceed their needs and keep it in the bank, of course, from the class, if they are not rich, then they are not poor (Siddique & Siddique, 2022). Poor or at least in need of money. In a treatment similar to the usury treatment, the deficit category takes money from the surplus category and returns it more than the actual value borrowed, which means that the deficit category remains a deficit category, and the surplus category increases as a financial surplus, reflecting a misdistribution of wealth (Selim, 2019). This is if we assume that the deficit category will repay the loan with an increase on a specified date, but the reality shows that part of this category will not be able to repay the loan, which entails a deferment penalty that accumulates and accumulates until the borrower is imprisoned, or leads to the bank's forfeiture of his property. However, the poor are getting poorer, and the rich are getting richer (Hoffmann, Rao, Surendra, Datta, 2021).

Interest is simple as it is called in the bank, but the worst thing in practice is that the bank deals with compound interest, that is, the borrower has to pay interest on the amount borrowed and the interest earned on it, and so on, interest on the amount in addition to interest on the Benefits (Zegarra,2017). Money in the modern economy does not become standardized and deviates from its function as a medium of exchange, and becomes a commodity to be sold or rented, and a person who does not have standards in the same sense (Baur & Dimpfl, 2021). And money was discovered from time to time only as a standard of value and a medium of exchange for goods and services, after the world suffered from the negatives of the previous barter system, but again suffered after money lost its function, and this function was replaced by a new job, namely creating new money, without selling or buying real goods or services, and one of the most important modern tools: financial derivatives (Bawono, & Prestianawati, 2019). So, money is creating money without economic value added, but only the process of collecting cash, and increasing the money supply, which will affect and decrease the purchase value of money, which will cause inflation, because the amount you pay to buy commodities becomes insufficient to buy the same commodity, because the value of money falls (Drean, 2021). This study investigates the impact of usury on the economy including economic growth, investment, and consumption in Indonesia.

## **Research Method**

This study employed "autoregressive vectors" to model the causal relationship between variables in 21-year data analysis from 2000 to 2020. This study relies on data provided by the World Bank. In our research, we look at Indonesia's real interest rate, economic growth, consumer

spending, and investment utilization. To examine the causal relationship between real interest rate, economic growth, consumer expenditure, and investment in Indonesia, the following multivariate regression model was used:

| IRt              | $=\beta_0+\beta_1EG_t+\beta_2CO_t\!+\beta_3I_t+\ e_t$  | eql 1 |
|------------------|--|-------|
| EGt              | $=\beta_0+\beta_1IR_t+\beta_2CO_t+\beta_3I_t+\ e_t$    | eql 2 |
| COt              | $=\beta_0+\beta_1IR_t+\beta_2EG_t+\beta_3I_t+\ e_t$    | eql 3 |
| $\mathbf{I}_{t}$ | $=\beta_0+\beta_1IR_t+\beta_2EG_t\!+\beta_3CO_t+\ e_t$ | eql 4 |

Description :

IR : Interest rate

EG : Economic growth

CO : Consumption

I : Investment

E : error term

t : time series

 $\beta$ : the magnitude of the effect of causality

eql: equation

This study uses vector calculations where each regression relationship will be brought together so that each variable will alternately become the dependent variable and the independent variable. The zero theory of Dickey-Fuller, taken from the PP test, and p=1 is the formula in  $\Delta yt = (\rho - 1)yt-1 + ut$ , in which  $\Delta$  – for the first time different operators. This research used the following equation for the "unit root test":

 $\Delta Y1 = \alpha 0 + \beta 0T + \beta 1Yt - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (i-1)^{n} q \alpha 1 \Delta Yt - 1 + et$ Description:

Y as the variable is being examined for unit root

T as the variable which indicates the "linear trend," the "lag difference" means is  $\Delta Yt-1$ ,  $\alpha 0$  are shown as "constant term," with the "t" as a "time trend" indicator. The null and alternative hypotheses for the "unit root test" are as follows:

H0: α=0

H1: α≠0

## **Results and Discussion**

Before a causality or VAR assumption can be met, a stationarity test must be performed. Researchers may use the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test to determine whether or not a series is non-stationary. To evaluate if the series is non-stationary, the error term is investigated, which includes the potential of autocorrelation if the series is non-stationary. The following findings were found after doing the unit root test:

| Variable              | Unit<br>Root | Include in the<br>examination<br>Equation | Statistics for<br>the ADF Test | 5%<br>Critical<br>Value | Description |
|-----------------------|--------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Interest Rate<br>(IR) | Level        | Intercept                                 | -2.934472                      | 0.0590                  |             |

Table 1. ADF's Unit Root Test on IR, EG, CO, and I data in Indonesia

|                         | First<br>Diff  | Intercept | -5.490289 | 0.0003 | Stationer |
|-------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------|
|                         | Level          | Intercept | -0.527808 | 0.8660 |           |
| Economic<br>Growth (EG) | First<br>Diff  | Intercept | -1.929268 | 0.3129 |           |
|                         | Second<br>Diff | Intercept | -3.319458 | 0.0293 | Stationer |
|                         | Level          | Intercept | -1.838596 | 0.3523 |           |
| Consumption (CO)        | First<br>Diff  | Intercept | -1.811369 | 0.3640 |           |
|                         | Second<br>Diff | Intercept | -3.197277 | 0.0371 | Stationer |
|                         | Level          | Intercept | -2.619887 | 0.1056 |           |
| Investment (I)          | First<br>Diff  | Intercept | -6.547377 | 0.0000 | Stationer |

The EG and CO data are stationary on the second difference, while the IR and I data are stationary on the first difference. The Augmented Dickey-Fuller test is -3.319458 with a critical value of 0.0293. Smaller than the p-value, in this case, the EG data shows stationary in the second difference compared to the original data. The ADF test on data I a -6.547377 with a critical value of 0.0000, meaning that data I is stationary at the first difference. From here, we can take the next step in defining vector analysis.

The causality test and VAR test both need adequate lag length sensitivity. It is crucial to choose the most suitable lag time for the scenario before doing a VAR analysis or a causality test. The Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) were utilized to determine the appropriate time lag in this investigation. To calculate the permissible time lag, the shortest or lowest Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) were utilized. The gap length spans from 0 to 4 since the data utilized in this test contains yearly data with a 21-year data range. This delay is regarded as long enough to describe IR, EG, CO, and I throughout an annual period.

| Lag | LogL      | LR       | FPE       | AIC        | SC         | HQ         |
|-----|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
| 0   | -105.8079 | NA*      | 4.795207* | 12.91858   | 13.11463   | 12.93807   |
| 1   | -92.64200 | 18.58721 | 7.185706  | 13.25200   | 14.23225   | 13.34944   |
| 2   | -76.32436 | 15.35778 | 10.40668  | 13.21463   | 14.97908   | 13.39002   |
| 3   | -49.59843 | 12.57691 | 12.72048  | 11.95276   | 14.50141   | 12.20610   |
| 4   | 1867.190  | 0.000000 | NA        | -211.6694* | -208.3366* | -211.3381* |

Table 2. AIC value at Lag 0 to 4 IR, EG, CO, and I data in Indonesia

Table 2 shows the findings of the Optimum Lag test. The AIC value at Lag 0 to 4 indicates that the length of the Lag variables IR, EG, CO, and I are in AIC, SC, and HQ at Lag 4. Since the results of the three criteria are the same, the fourth lag will be selected. During this period, the interactions between IR, EG, CO, and I are shown in this table. Based on the data, there is no preliminary effect for the four variables, so according to the test requirements, the best lag lies in lag 4.

Following the stationarity test, the next step is a cointegration test. The cointegration test is conducted after the researchers have determined the degree of stationarity in the variables employed. The cointegration test is one of the methods for determining whether or not the variables in a model have a long-term connection. If cointegration is discovered between two variables during testing, it might be inferred that the variables have a long-term connection. The findings of the cointegration test using the cointegration test are as follows:

|              |            | U          |                |        |  |
|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|--------|--|
| Hypothesized | Eigenvalue | Trace Stat | Critical Value | Prob   |  |
| None         | 0.654689   | 43.38838   | 47.85613       | 0.1234 |  |
| At most 1    | 0.567118   | 23.18547   | 29.79707       | 0.2371 |  |
| At most 2    | 0.317877   | 7.276956   | 15.49471       | 0.5457 |  |
| At most 3    | 0.000452   | 0.008592   | 3.841466       | 0.9258 |  |

 Tabel 3. Cointegration test

The cointegration test results from table three above show that there is no cointegration because the probability is greater than 0.05. VAR (Vector Autoregressive) is a multivariate forecasting model that is used to construct a forecasting system from interrelated time series data and to analyze the dynamic effects of the presence of random factors that interfere with the system.

|                | IR         | EG         | СО         | Ι          |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| IR             | 0.347130   | -0.145542  | -0.005633  | -0.031630  |
|                | (0.32306)  | (0.16984)  | (0.15925)  | (0.03812)  |
|                | [ 1.07450] | [-0.85693] | [-0.03538] | [-0.82967] |
|                |            |            |            |            |
| EG             | 0.129728   | -0.388112  | -0.306611  | -0.396927  |
|                | (2.33234)  | (1.22616)  | (1.14968)  | (0.27523)  |
|                | [ 0.05562] | [-0.31653] | [-0.26669] | [-1.44217] |
|                |            |            |            |            |
| СО             | -2.596949  | 0.311449   | -0.590026  | 0.009579   |
|                | (1.62282)  | (0.85316)  | (0.79994)  | (0.19150)  |
|                | [-1.60027] | [ 0.36506] | [-0.73759] | [ 0.05002] |
|                |            |            |            |            |
| Ι              | -3.212489  | -0.287247  | -0.625972  | 0.238036   |
|                | (2.29911)  | (1.20870)  | (1.13331)  | (0.27131)  |
|                | [-1.39727] | [-0.23765] | [-0.55234] | [ 0.87736] |
|                |            |            |            |            |
| С              | 46.30950   | 1.083986   | 3.388157   | 1.413773   |
|                | (18.6026)  | (9.77981)  | (9.16980)  | (2.19521)  |
|                | [ 2.48941] | [ 0.11084] | [ 0.36949] | [ 0.64403] |
|                |            |            |            |            |
| R-squared      | 0.495509   | 0.197054   | 0.223972   | 0.561805   |
| Adj. R-squared | 0.091916   | -0.445303  | -0.396851  | 0.211249   |
| Sum sq. resids | 169.2662   | 46.78264   | 41.12864   | 2.357081   |
| S.E. equation  | 4.114197   | 2.162930   | 2.028020   | 0.485498   |

| Table 4. | Vector | Model | Analysis |
|----------|--------|-------|----------|
|----------|--------|-------|----------|

| F-statistic    | 1.227744  | 0.306767  | 0.360766  | 1.602612  |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Log likelihood | -47.73665 | -35.52003 | -34.29636 | -7.133188 |
| Akaike AIC     | 5.972279  | 4.686319  | 4.557511  | 1.698230  |
| Schwarz SC     | 6.419645  | 5.133685  | 5.004877  | 2.145596  |
| Mean dependent | 5.628661  | 4.977976  | 4.544521  | 1.799507  |
| S.D. dependent | 4.317398  | 1.799132  | 1.715920  | 0.546660  |

The relationship between IR and IR itself is significantly positive, with a coefficient of 0.347130 and a t-statistic of 1.07450, the relationship between IR and EG is significantly negative, with a coefficient of -0.145542 and a t-statistic of -0.85693, which means the lower the IR, the higher the EG. Likewise, the relationship between IR and CO is significantly negative with a coefficient of -0.005633 and a t-statistic of -0.03538, meaning that the lower the IR, the higher the CO. The relationship between IR and I was significantly negative, as evidenced by the coefficient - 0.031630 and t-statistic -0.82967. This shows that a low real interest rate, will encourage economic growth, as well as consumption levels that are in line with economic growth, in fact, it will increase as the real interest rate decreases. In line with consumption and economic growth, the investment will also increase along with lower interest rates.

Granger causality is a test that determines if two study variables have a causal or reciprocal link by determining whether they statistically impact each other (two-way or reciprocal relationship), have a unidirectional relationship, or have no relationship at all (do not influence each other).

| Table 5. Granger Causanty    |     |             |        |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|-----|-------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Null Hypothesis:             | Obs | F-Statistic | Prob.  |  |  |  |
| EG does not Granger Cause IR | 19  | 0.60483     | 0.5598 |  |  |  |
| IR does not Granger Cause EG |     | 0.46559     | 0.6371 |  |  |  |
| CO does not Granger Cause IR | 19  | 0.71774     | 0.5050 |  |  |  |
| IR does not Granger Cause CO |     | 0.87400     | 0.4389 |  |  |  |
| I does not Granger Cause IR  | 19  | 0.09846     | 0.9069 |  |  |  |
| IR does not Granger Cause I  |     | 1.12446     | 0.3525 |  |  |  |
| CO does not Granger Cause EG | 19  | 0.09572     | 0.9093 |  |  |  |
| EG does not Granger Cause CO |     | 1.10983     | 0.3569 |  |  |  |
| I does not Granger Cause EG  | 19  | 0.28746     | 0.7545 |  |  |  |
| EG does not Granger Cause I  |     | 2.22746     | 0.1446 |  |  |  |
| I does not Granger Cause CO  | 19  | 0.18782     | 0.8308 |  |  |  |
| CO does not Granger Cause I  |     | 0.28183     | 0.7586 |  |  |  |

 Table 5. Granger Causality

The results of Granger causality analysis with IR, EG, CO, and I variables indicate that there is no one-way relationship because the significance level (p-value) is less than or equal to 0.05.

## Conclusion

The interest rate has a negative reciprocal relationship, which means that the interest rate suppresses the real sector which includes economic growth, domestic consumption, investment. This proves that the higher the interest rate, the more burden on the real sector. Of course this has a negative impact on the economy. In today's modern economy in Indonesia, the interest system as one of the economic factors and determinants of monetary policy is common in the Indonesian

economy. However, interest or in Islam called usury is something that needs to be avoided or minimized because it burdens the economy, especially in the modern economy in Indonesia.

### References

- Baur, D. G., & Dimpfl, T. (2021). The volatility of Bitcoin and its role as a medium of exchange and a store of value. Empirical Economics, 61(5), 2663-2683.
- Bawono, S., & Prestianawati, S. A. (2019). RETHINKING OF FINANCE STABILITY :: GOLD ,FIAT MONEY AND ANTI-CRYPTO MONEY POLICY PUZZLE IMPACT IN STABILITY OF INTERNAL VALUE. JBFEM, 2(1), 15-22. https://doi.org/10.32770/jbfem.vol215-22
- Clark, C. M. (2020). On the Priority of Labor Over Capital. American Journal of Economics and Sociology, 79(4), 1147-1180.
- De Vries, A., & Marcondes, M. I. (2020). Overview of factors affecting productive lifespan of dairy cows. Animal, 14(1), 155-164.
- Drean,B. (2021). The Effect Of The Ratio Of The Money Supply, The Ratio Of Bank Credit, And The Ratio Of Domestic Savings To Economic Growth in Uzbekistan. Tamansiswa Accounting Journal International,1(1),39-42.
- Hoffmann,V., Rao,V., Surendra,V., Datta,U. (2021). Relief from usury: Impact of a self-help group lending program in rural India. Journal of Development Economics,148(1),1-17Rusminingsih,D., Damayanti ,L.(2021). Human Identity Factors in Human Capital Investment in Driving Financial Performance, Case Study of the Islamic Hospitality Industry in Indonesia. Tamansiswa Management Journal International,1(1),3-6.
- Prabowo, B. H., Sulisnaningrum, E., & Harnani, S. (2021). FINANCIAL CRISIS AND USURY IN DIGITAL ECONOMIC: WHY MAJOR RELIGION PROHIBIT USURY? MONETARY STUDIES IN ASIA 5. JBFEM, 4(1), 27-46.
- Sasongko, B., Bawono, S., & Prabowo, B. H. (2021). The Economic Performance of China in Trade War: The Case Study of Three Global Economic Crises in 1997–2020. In Environmental, Social, and Governance Perspectives on Economic Development in Asia. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Selim, M. (2019). The effectiveness of Qard-al-Hasan (interest free loan) as a tool of monetary policy. International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management, 12(1), 130-151.
- Siddique, M. A., & Siddique, M. Z. (2022). Intrinsically irreconcilable: The case against running musharakah as employed by Islamic banks. Borsa Istanbul Review, 22(5), 861-872.
- Viphindrartin, S., Wilantari, R. N., & Bawono, S. (2022). The comparison of the islamic and conventional bank performance before and during Covid-19 pandemic in Indonesia. Journal of Management and Business, 21(1), 76-84.
- Wilantari, R. N., Widarni, E. L., & Bawono, S. (2021). Investment, Deposit Interest Rates, and Real Sector Performance: A Case Study of Islamic Finance in Malaysia. Muqtasid: Jurnal Ekonomi dan Perbankan Syariah, 12(2), 144-154.
- Zegarra,L.F. (2017). Usury laws and private credit in Lima, Peru. Evidence from notarized records. Explorations in Economic History,65(1),68-93.
- WIDARNI, E. L., & BAWONO, S. (2021). Human Capital, Technology, and Economic Growth: A Case Study of Indonesia. The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 8(5), 29-35.