Eligibility Of Education And The Important Role Of Green Economy In Reducing Poverty Level

Cahya Budhi Irawan[1], Sri Harnani[2]
[1,2]STIE Jaya Negara Tamansiswa Malang, Indonesia

Abstract

This study investigates Green Economic, Education and Poverty. This study investigates data at the start point year of 2000 to 2020 to generate "autoregressive vectors" that can be utilize for determine relationship among the variables. This model is to analyze between Green Economic, Education and Poverty expenditure in Indonesia. We found that the Green Economy is very important in life, just like education, weak education is stated to increase the number of poverty, just as education has a positive impact on the green economy, from here we can see the importance of education for life, the better the education, the better also a green economy and education can reduce poverty.

Keywords: Green Economics, Education, Poverty, Indonesia.
JEL Classification: A10, I20 , I32.

Received: November 6,2021 Accepted: Desember 1,2021
DOI : 10.54204/TAJI/Vol412022005

Background

Both ecological and internet industries have accelerated the country's economic speed. Both renewable energy industry with digitalisation are reshaping civilization towards a more ecological as well as technologically advanced civilization (Ma & Zhu, 2022). And, with Covid - 19 outbreak at overdrive, computer technology especially digitalization ameliorates a economic crisis generated more by outbreak. It's due to the fact that computer revolution allows financial room for survival inside the period of something like the COVID-19 virus (Ben-Ahmed, Ayadi, & Hamad, 2021; Widarni, & Bawono, 2022).

Both growing of the economic or digitalisation encourage substantial macroeconomic transformations that lead to a more sustainable and digital society. The primary objective of global economic growth is to avoid a pandemic disaster. Green Industry and the business to emphasize environmental and digital goals that will help to establish better ecologically green and effective economics. An vibrant economy values the environment and growth in the economy and moreover ecological protection. (Yang, Ma, Wang, & Lin, 2022).

Purpose and achivement to reach when implementing the green economy with a modern world is very allocate finances to aim and transitioning to healthier and even more technological civilization. Technological notions with technologies associated with an electronic society, when combined with a eco-friendly economics, represent the tremendous chance for modify develop of the economics paradigm like attempts for conserve local surroundings. Green as well as internet

economies encourages greens transitioning, transformation to digitized, with smart, affordable, also equitable (Ha, & Byrne, 2019).

Contemporary economics are designed for the growth of economic in mind. On the other hand, economy progress with nothing regard in terms of the environment endangers personal existence with raises the cost of everything owing to pollution. The green economy contributes to both environmental preservation and economic development. The economical restructuring phase must closely monitored with sectors at knowledge, organizations, also labor (Li & Wang, 2022).

Epidemic is offered the significant push to digitisation. Technological transformation was an inevitable truth, but mobility limits created because of the medical emergency who has expedited the introduction out of digital sphere (Caballini, Agostino, & Dalla Chiara, 2021). The future of the industry accelerates process, with mostly effect the tiny with litle firms, while comprise bulk for company Indonesian architecture. To comprehend the digital economy and green economy in Indonesia (Lahti, Wincent, & Parida, 2018).

The green economy recognizes that current economic growth does not include social or environmental externalities. The proposal is to achieve prosperity and promoting income justice with lowering dangers dramatically also preventing natural resource scarcity. This implies that economic growth and employment must be achieved through public and private investment (Anser, Usman, Godil, Shabbir, Sharif, Tabash, & Lopez, 2021). Green economy leads to increased personal happiness with fairness, thus greatly lowering environmental hazards as well as scarcity while achieving economic development and efficient use of resources. A green economy, also known on several occasions as an ecological economy, emerged as a response to combating Global warming, plus environmental sustainability (Affolderbach, 2022).

The global community's commitment to ethical policies is increasing. A green economy combines the goals of economic growth and job creation with efficient use of resources, which is understood as green jobs, and companies that work with respect for nature and the environment that produce low carbon emissions are considered green companies. In addition, many green companies not only create green jobs or jobs but also introduce an environmental mindset in their production, produce more environmentally friendly products, and highlight their sustainability through green marketing (Sulich & Sołoducho-Pelc, 2022).

A green economy's fundamental purpose is to establish ecological, economic, and ecological stability such that the industrial process helps the economy for civilization while still not harming the environment (Khan, Razzaq, Yu, & Miller, 2021). A paradigm shift in current economic models. Business culture is related to the behavior of entities abroad and their social attitudes. In addition, it is determined by government factors and ecological philosophy. The aim is none other than for both the target and the worker to identify with what is socially transmitted. The green economy affects not only large companies, with high pollution margins but also their suppliers, small companies that are required to have certain environmental responsibilities. In this way extraction, transportation, and handling of resources are more efficient, reducing the environmental impact of these activities. The main motivation for green infrastructure is for upgrade life's power to provide Natural products or services, also including safe drinking water,

are extremely valued. Another important aspect of green infrastructure is ecological restoration (Mikhno, Koval, Shvets, Garmatiuk, & Tamošiūnienė, 2021).

The global community's commitment to ethical policies is increasing. A green economy combines the goals of economic growth and job creation with efficient use of resources, which is understood as green jobs, and companies that work with respect for nature and the environment that produce low carbon emissions are considered green companies. In addition, many green companies not only create green jobs or jobs but also introduce an environmental mindset in their production, produce more environmentally friendly products, and highlight their sustainability through green marketing (Sulich & Sołoducho-Pelc, 2022).

Green Economics is also related to Education which also does indeed have a favorable link with the economy development and technological improvement, it will reflects that education can develop human capital in adapting to new knowledge and technology to improve the economy (Puspaningtyas & Harnani, 2021). It also plays a very important role in economic development. Where education is an element in human capacity in developing knowledge and understanding of new information and productive and good behavior. So it can be said that education is the basis or foundation of human capital (Rusmingsih & Afriani, 2021). Education also plays an important role in improving people's welfare through joint performance in building the country and economic independence (Irwanto & Harnani, 2021).

Without a good education and a healthy green economy, it can cause poverty in a country, this poverty can be eliminated with several strategies, such as increasing income which can help someone to continue to invest in human resources so that they can continue to increase their income until they leave from poverty and this will be passed on to their children who can earn enough and continue to grow so that the poverty chain can be broken. In aggregate, an increase in income and an increase in population productivity have an impact on GDP. When GDP increases, production will increase, employment opportunities are greater and people's incomes tend to increase and have an impact on increasing people's welfare. A prosperous society can eradicate poverty in a systematic and measurable manner (Khan, 2019).

In education worldwide there is considerable variation in the material domains, emphasis as well as functionality between individual evaluations. In addition, there are striking regional differences. For example, some people who are better financially as well as intellectually developed seem to put greater emphasis on teaching, material of teaching, also exam for teachers – better emphasis the value – then others who place more emphasis on managing school resources, facilities, and "hardware". needed. The optimal drive, efficiency with fairness at the education arround the world is very hard way that has only just begun. Educational reformers also face the challenge of achieving this balance (Guthrie & Wong, 2007). The demands of politically-oriented, economic-oriented, and score-oriented education are not suitable for nation building and must be replaced with an integrated approach to students in schools. Nowadays, scores from exam, specifically, the outcomes of university admission tests, continue to be the major measure of educational outcomes. In education, there remains an considerable difference among change implications with real local behavior (Lucey & Saguil, 2020).

In poverty, it is revealed that households with multiple disabilities tend to have lower levels of welfare. The impact of disability duration on well-being is also not taken into account in traditional poverty measures. It can be argued that the assimilation of extreme poverty with the lack of some basic guarantees results from confusion (Lloyd, 2018). Poverty when understood as a unidimensional monetary phenomenon (associated with consumption or income) may very well result from deficiencies in other areas (such as lack of education or employment), or it can cause these deficiencies, primarily through intergenerational transmission mechanisms (Park & Nam, 2020). However, this is not a reason to identify the causes and/or impacts of poverty with poverty itself. When one considers the lives of the very poor rather than the lives of those who are less poor. If this individual and household situation is one of financial shortfall only, it can be called as such, and is handled through public transfers (Sparrow, Dartanto, & Hartwig, 2020).

Apart from the lack of income. As well as assisting in designing appropriate policies, such an approach to poverty can also help in prevention, i.e. avoiding the recurrence of poverty from one generation to the next among the very poor (Makdissi & Wodon, 2006). The poorer the population, the greater the need for subsidies and investment by the Indonesian government to increase human resource development to promote economic growth, which can damage the transmission chain in Indonesia by increasing human resources so as to increase and increase decent incomes. can get out of poverty independently and help the next generation to be more productive and prosperous (Putranto & Irawan, 2021).

Research Method

In the analysis of 21 years of data spanning the years 2000 through 2020, "autoregressive vectors" were used to express variable-to-variable causal linkages. The World data for this study. We examine Green Economic , Education and Poverty expenditure in Indonesia. Here's the models :

$GE_t = {}_0 + {}_1 ET_t + {}_2 DN_t + e_t$ eai 1
$EO_t = {}_0 + {}_1 IS_t + {}_2 DN_t + e_t$ eai 2
$PR_t = {}_0 + {}_1 IS_t + {}_2 ET_t + e_t$ eai 3

Description :
GE: Green Economics
EO: Education
PR: Poverty
e : erroneous title
t : time sequence
β : degree in terms of causation influence
eai : equation

This research employs vector computations, in which every regression connection is combined so that every variable simultaneously becomes both the independent and the dependent variables. The concept of zero from Dickey-Fuller, derived by PP analyze, with p=1 and $\Delta yt = (\rho - 1)yt\text{-}1 + ut$ are formula, while Δ – This is the very first try, various operations were utilize. For the "unit root test," the following equation was employed in this study:

$$\Delta Y_1 = \alpha_0 + \beta_{0T} + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \sum\_(i-1)^q \alpha_1 \Delta Y_{t-1} + e_t$$

Caption:

Y the check of unit root variables.
T "linear pattern" variable represented, and "different in lag" are Yt1, 0 are displayed as "single equation," also with "t" being a "time trends" indication. The null hypothesis (h0) and the following are some alternate unit root test hypotheses:
H0 : α=0
H1 : α≠0

Results and Discussion

This test may be used to assess whether or not data is stationary. An error term analysis is used to determine if the series is stationary, which includes the possibility of autocorrelation if the sequence isn't stationary. Following the trying on following test unit root: findings were obtained:

Table 1: ADF's Unit Root Test on GE, EO, and PR data in Indonesia

Variable	Unit Root	Include in the examination Equation	Statistics for the ADF Test	5% Critical Value	Description
Green Economics (GE)	Level	Intercept	1.422871	0.9981	
	First Diff	Intercept	-4.532533	0.0025	Stationary
Education (EO)	Level	Intercept	-0.527808	0.8660	
	first diff	Intercept	-1.943341	0.3073	
	Second Diff	Intercept	-5.433040	0.0004	Stationary
Poverty (PR)	Level	Intercept	-0.914048	0.7620	
	First Diff	Intercept	-2.629142	0.1047	Stationary

The EO data is stationary in the second difference, while the GE and PR variables are stationary at the first difference. This is indicated by the Augmented Dickey-Fuller with such a result of, run a test -4.532533 and a probability of 0.0025 , because the probability is less than 5%, in this situation, the second difference IN data demonstrates that it is stationary.

Both the VAR and the causationtry must be got the sensitivity test before beginning the VAR investigation, there is must be select an acceptable optimum lag time. This is the following result:

Table 2: The test of Optimum Lag at Lag 0 to 4 GE, EO, and PR data in Indonesia

lag	LogL	LR	FPE	AIC	SC	HQ
0	-315.7275	NA	3.87e+12	37.49735	37.64439	37.51197
1	-271.3181	67.92031*	6.17e+10*	33.33154	33.91969*	33.39000
2	-262.8343	9.980890	7.45e+10	33.39227	34.42154	33.49458
3	-253.5771	7.623582	1.04e+11	33.36201	34.83239	33.50817

4	-237.1663	7.722729	1.11e+11	32.49015*	34.40164	32.68016*

The study's findings check we can se on the Table 2. And result of varying lengths of lag GE, EO, and PR are at LR, FPE, and SC at possition number 1. As a result of this three components' conclusions are all the same, lag one will be picked.

Table 3: VAR Model Analysis

	EO	GE	PR
EO	0.585723	194.5446	-0.097241
	(0.23324)	(760,200)	(0.04933)
	[2.51125]	[0.25591]	[-1.97113]
GE	-3.61E-05	0.916946	3.24E-06
	(3.0E-05)	(0.09684)	(6.3E-06)
	[-1.21596]	[9,46873]	[0.51605]
PR	-1.206811	-2113,130	0.749278
	(0.73445)	(2393.79)	(0.15534)
	[-1.64315]	[-0.88275]	[4.82340]
C	131.3055	192985.0	19.41149
	(65.0023)	(211862.)	(13.7486)
	[2.02002]	[0.91090]	[1.41189]
R-squared	0.618551	0.940240	0.864603
adj. R-squared	0.547029	0.929035	0.839216
Sum sq. resids	9675281	1.03E+10	43.28347
SE equation	7.776279	25345.28	1.644754
F-statistics	8.648436	83.91304	34.05689
Likelihood logs	-67.16889	-228.9543	-36.09916
Akaike AIC	7.116889	23.29543	4.009916
Schwarz SC	7.316036	23.49458	4.209062
Mean dependent	72.11236	797482.5	60.20036
SD dependent	11.55412	95142.85	4.101844

The connection among EO with PR, was greatly negative, having a -0.097241 coefficient with the t-statistic -1.97113 . The association among EO with GE is drastically positive, having a 194.5446 coefficient with 9.46873 , meaning that the more EO there is, the more GE. The association among EO with EO itself is super positive, with 0.585723 coefficient and 2.51125 t-statistic. This demonstrates that a rise in Education will boost Green Economic, and a lower in Education in this research will also raise Poverty.

Table 4: The test of Causality's Granger

Null Hypothesis:	Obs	F-Statistics	Prob.
GE does not Granger Cause EO	20	0.26253	0.6150
EO does not Granger Cause GE		0.67164	0.4238

PR does not Granger Cause EO	20	1.46883	0.2421
EO does not Granger Cause PR		3.78846	0.0683
PR does not Granger Cause GE	20	1.45677	0.2440
GE does not Granger Cause PR		0.00505	0.9442

The outcomes of the Granger causality test in Indonesia can be seen in Table 4. The results show that it is a single variable-to-variable causal connection, namely between GE variable against EO, PR against EO, and PR variable against GE. This can be seen from the lower probability than five percent.

Conclusion

Green Economy is very important in life, just like education, weak education is stated to increase the number of poverty, just as education has a positive impact on the green economy, from here we can see the importance of education for life, the better the education, the better the green economy and education can reduce poverty.

References

Affolderbach, J. (2022). Translating green economy concepts into practice: ideas pitches as learning tools for sustainability education. Journal of Geography in Higher Education, 46(1), 43-60.

Afriani, IH, Rusmingsih, D (2021). Education and Economic Development in Indonesia Based on Human Capital Theoretical Perspective. Splash Magz, 1(1), 1-7.

Anser, MK, Usman, M., Godil, DI, Shabbir, MS, Sharif, A., Tabash, MI, & Lopez, LB (2021). Does globalization affect the green economy and environment? The relationship between energy consumption, carbon dioxide emissions, and economic growth. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 28(37), 51105-51118.

Ben-Ahmed,K., Ayadi,I., Hamad,S.B. (2021).COVID-19 impact on digital companies' stock return: A dynamic data analysis.Finance Research Letters,46(1),1-5.

Caballini, C., Agostino, M., & Dalla Chiara, B. (2021). Physical mobility and virtual communication in Italy: Trends, analytical relationships and policies for the post COVID-19. Transport Policy, 110 (1), 314-334.

Guthrie, J. W., & Wong, K. K. (2007). Education finance from the perspective of politics, political cultures and government. In: H. F. Ladd & E. B. Fiske (Eds.), Handbook of research in education finance and policy. New York : Routledge.

Ha, Y. H., & Byrne, J. (2019). The rise and fall of green growth: Korea's energy sector experiment and its lessons for sustainable energy policy. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment, 8(4), 335-345.

Irwanto, NH, Harnani, S (2021). The Role of Education on Technology Inclusion and the Performance of Indonesian Human Resources. 1(2), 47-50.

Khan, S. A. R., Razzaq, A., Yu, Z., & Miller, S. (2021). Industry 4.0 and circular economy practices: A new era business strategies for environmental sustainability. Business Strategy and the Environment, 30(8), 4001-4014.

Khan, S. A. R. (2019). The nexus between carbon emissions, poverty, economic growth, and logistics operations-empirical evidence from southeast Asian countries. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 26(13), 13210-13220.

Lahti, T., Wincent, J., & Parida, V. (2018). A definition and theoretical review of the circular economy, value creation, and sustainable business models: where are we now and where should research move in the future?. Sustainability, 10(8), 2799-2819.

Li,Z., Wang,J. (2022).The Dynamic Impact of Digital Economy on Carbon Emission Reduction: Evidence City-level Empirical Data in China.Journal of Cleaner Production,351(1),1-13.

Lucey, C. R., & Saguil, A. (2020). The consequences of structural racism on MCAT scores and medical school admissions: the past is prologue. Academic Medicine, 95(3), 351-356.

Lloyd, M. H. (2018). Poverty and family reunification for mothers with substance use disorders in child welfare. Child Abuse Review, 27(4), 301-316.

Ma,D., Zhu,Q. (2022).Innovation in emerging economies: Research on the digital economy driving high-quality green development.Journal of Business Research,145(1),801-813.

Makdissi, P., Wodon, Q. (2006). Defining and measuring extreme poverty. Creedy, J. and Kalb, G. (Ed.) Dynamics of Inequality and Poverty (Research on Economic Inequality, Vol. 13), Emerald Group Publishing Limited, Bingley, pp. 325-340. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1049-2585(06)13011-2

Mikhno, I., Koval, V., Shvets, G., Garmatiuk, O., & Tamošiūnienė, R. (2021). Green economy in sustainable development and improvement of resource efficiency. Central European Business Review (CEBR), 10(1), 99-113.

Park, E. Y., & Nam, S. J. (2020). Multidimensional poverty status of householders with disabilities in South Korea. International Journal of Social Welfare, 29(1), 41-50.

Puspaningtyas, M., Harnani, .S (2021). Education and Technology in Human Capital Management Studies in Indonesia. Tamansiswa Management Journal International,1(1), 1-2.

Putranto, ET, Irawan, .CB (2021). Poverty Alleviation, Human Capital, Technology and Economic Growth in Indonesia. Splash Magz, 1(3), 35-38.

Sulich, A., & Sołoducho-Pelc, L. (2022). The circular economy and the Green Jobs creation. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 29(10), 14231-14247.

Widarni, E. L., & Bawono, S. (2022). Technology Investment, Consumption, and Economic Growth in Poverty Eradication Efforts in Indonesia. In Modeling Economic Growth in Contemporary Indonesia (pp. 217-223). Emerald Publishing Limited.

Yang,Q., Ma,H., Wang,Y., Lin,L. (2022).Research on the influence mechanism of the digital economy on regional sustainable development. Procedia Computer Science,202(1),178-183.